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On November 20, 1989, the United Nations General Assembly formally adopted the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (United Nations, 1989). The establishment of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) was a significant achievement regarding the formal and international acknowledgement and recognition of children's rights (Howe & Covell, 2007). The CRC was the first of any globally applicable human rights conventions to explicitly integrate two broad classifications of rights: (a) civil and political; and (b) economic, social, and cultural (Denov & Campbell, 2002). Within the Canadian context, the CRC embodied many of the already recognized legal and social principles of Canada's commitment to social justice (Denov & Campbell, 2002).

As an international leader in children's rights, Canada was one of the first countries to ratify the Convention on the Rights of the Child in December 1991.\(^1\) The CRC views children as valuable citizens and rights holders entitled to the best of what society can offer to ensure equity in all areas shaping their health and well-being, in a way that respects their distinct cultures, spirituality and knowledge.\(^2\) The CRC also includes protections for Indigenous\(^3\) children. As the CRC and the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (DRIP) are international agreements, the treaty bodies can hold governments, or “State parties,” accountable for the safety and well-being of all children. This is especially important in a country like Canada where First Nations children on reserves are one of the most vulnerable populations and are often falling behind in many areas, including their health, development, and social welfare.

---

\(^1\) Portions of this paper are derived from Bennett (2007), Blackstock & Bennett (2003) and Blackstock, Clarke, Cullen, D’Hondt, & Formsma (2004).

\(^2\) Implementation however remains uneven and is not often prioritized by state parties (Rae, 2006).

\(^3\) In the context of this paper, the term ‘Indigenous’ applies to Indigenous peoples across the world and the term ‘Aboriginal’ refers to the three Aboriginal groups in Canada: Métis, Inuit and First Nations. The paper focuses primarily on First Nation children on reserve but will employ the term ‘Indigenous’ and ‘Aboriginal’ as needed.
CRC Framework
(Howe & Cowell, 2001)

The CRC essentially provides a global standard or framework for all governments to improve, promote and protect the basic human rights for all children. It calls for continuous action and progress in the realization of children’s rights underpinned by four general principles:

1. Non-discrimination (Article 2), which means that states commit themselves to respect and ensure the rights of all children under their jurisdiction without discrimination of any kind;

2. The best interests of the child (Article 3), which means that the interests of the child are recognized as paramount and budgetary allocations should give priority to children and to the safekeeping of their rights;

3. The child’s right to survival and development (Article 6), which claims the right for children to realize their fullest potential through a range of strategies, from meeting their health, nutrition and education needs to supporting their personal and social development;

4. Respect for the children’s views and for their right to participate in all aspects of democratic society (Articles 12 to 15), which asserts that children are not passive recipients, but active contributors to the decisions that affect their lives.

These key themes underpin all other provisions of the CRC and provide a strong framework for the adoption of a child-friendly focus in all of the obligations imposed on the state.

Failing First Nations Children

In recognition of the last year of the International Decade of Indigenous Peoples, the First Nations Child and Family Caring Society of Canada (Caring Society) published a report titled Keeping the Promise, which investigated the lived experiences of First Nations children across a number of dimensions including poverty, urbanization, substance misuse, education, youth suicide, accidental injury, child welfare, sexual exploitation, and youth justice (Blackstock, Clarke, Cullen, D’Hondt, & Formsma, 2004). The authors recognized many effects on the health and well-being of First Nations children caused by these dimensions and that there was no standardized way of determining when rights contained in the CRC were being upheld. For their purposes they identified possible rights violations based on the following three situations:

1. where First Nations children and young people face disproportionate risk relating to one or more articles of the CRC;

2. where there is an identified risk to First Nations children and young people relating to one or more articles of the CRC – particularly when the risk is severe and persistent; and

3. where there is little evidence that the State government has considered how to ensure the right is realized for First Nations children and young people (Blackstock et al., 2004, p. 16).

The findings indicate that First Nations children continue to experience unacceptable, disproportionate levels of risk across all the identified dimensions, and that policies developed by the government to redress these risks and protect the rights of First Nations children remain largely unimplemented.

Many policies and practices for First Nations communities often do not take into account current effects of historical traumas to First Nations peoples including those wrought by colonialism and systems of assimilation, for example residential schools. Further to this, funding to address structural challenges is lacking (Trócmé, et al. 2005). As a wealthy and prosperous nation with an international reputation for challenging oppressors of the under classes, Canada falls short when its treatment of Aboriginal children is exposed and scrutinized. The social determinants of health overall for First Nations communities have a large impact on the health and well-being of First Nations children and often impede their future success. As Martha Friendly (2007) notes, despite care and education programs such as Aboriginal Head Start, Aboriginal children within Canada remain significantly underserved in early learning.
and childcare. Similarly, First Nations children face disproportionate difficulties accessing health care and consequently face higher risks for health problems (van Daalen-Smith, 2007), and they lag behind educationally in comparison to other Canadian children (Canadian Council of Provincial Child and Youth Advocates, 2010). First Nations children are also over-represented in the youth justice (Denov, 2007) and child welfare systems (Blackstock, 2007) across the country. First Nations children and youth are too often the victims of sexual exploitation and violence, and their rates of suicide, death and injury are also disproportionately high (UNICEF, 2009). Two areas in which these disproportionate levels of risk continue to be particularly pronounced are in the areas of poverty and child welfare.

**Aboriginal children experience disproportionate levels of poverty**

Although Article 24 of the CRC entitles all children to health and well-being, First Nations children continue to live far below the standard of living of the general Canadian population (Statistics Canada, 2006). The living conditions for many First Nations children both on and off reserve fall far short of those promised in the CRC. Many First Nations children living off reserve live in poverty with their basic needs for food, clothing and shelter remaining unmet. Urban First Nations children are twice as likely as their non-Aboriginal peers to live in poverty, to live in young single-parent households, to live in inadequate housing, and to be hungry (Canadian Council of Provincial Child and Youth Advocates, 2010). In many on-reserve communities, particularly in remote settings, families struggle to meet basic needs in an environment of high prices and fewer economic opportunities. First Nations children living on reserve often do not have access to services which are available to non-Aboriginal children across the country. This is especially true for First Nations children with disabilities where jurisdictional disputes remain an obstacle (Lavallee, 2005; Blackstock, Prakash, Loxley & Wien, 2005). Food security is a pervasive problem, with national data indicating that Aboriginal children are four times more likely to report experiencing hunger than non-Aboriginal children in Canada (McIntyre, Walsh, & Connor, 2001). Aboriginal children are over-represented in homelessness, inadequate housing and over-crowding situations (Anderson, Blackwell, & Dornan, 2000). Adequate and safe water systems are a continuing problem (Crooks, 2012). UNICEF (2013) reports that “about 30 percent of children in poor families and in First Nations families are developmentally vulnerable – as opposed to 15 percent of children from better-off families” (p. 10). Under Article 4 of the CRC, State governments should be providing adequate funding to support children and their rights. In the case of First Nations children and communities, there should be adequate funding for infrastructure and access to services to meet the needs of children and families as no child in a resource-rich country like Canada should be living in poverty. In essence, poverty is a problem that pervades all aspects of life and makes healthy development difficult, not only for First Nations children but also for Métis and Inuit children (Bennett & Auger, 2010). Poverty reduction is also important in reducing the high numbers of First Nations children being taken into the care of child welfare because of parental neglect (Blackstock, 2003).
Child welfare policies and funding continue to undermine the health of First Nations children.

Child welfare policies, practices and funding levels for First Nations children have been, and remain, discriminatory and inconsistent with the CRC (Blackstock, et al., 2004; Bennett, 2007; Blackstock, 2010). Many people are aware of the ‘60s scoop’, a time during which there were mass removals of children from communities by non-Aboriginal social workers and placement into in non-Aboriginal homes (Kimelman, 1984). Not nearly enough has changed since. Research indicates that discriminatory treatment towards First Nations children is evident at every stage of social worker decision-making (Trocmé et al., 2005). For example, First Nations cases are more likely to be kept open for on-going services, and First Nations children are more likely to be removed from their homes and are often placed into non-Aboriginal homes. Importantly, studies have shown poverty to be a root cause leading to the removal of children (Trocmé et al., 2005). First Nations children continue to be overrepresented in the child welfare system (Blackstock, 2007). The 2011 National Household Survey indicated that in 2011, 48% of children in foster care were Aboriginal (Statistics Canada, 2013). The Federal Government’s own data shows a staggering 71.5% increase in the number of First Nations (‘Status Indian’) children on reserve being placed in child welfare between 1995 and 2001 (McKenzie, 2002). Factors underlying this increase in the removal of children include a lack of home family supports for children at risk and inequitable funding for services. More funding for measures considered the least disruptive would do much to reduce the numbers of children in care (Shangreaux, 2004; Blackstock, 2010). The funding issue has been brought to the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal in a human rights case against the Government of Canada, alleging that the inequitable funding levels have contributed to the overrepresentation of First Nations children in the care of child welfare. If successful, this case could mean equitable funding for child welfare services and possibly other services if a legal precedent is set (Blackstock, 2010).

First Nations parents are in the best position to care for their children and should have supports to assist them when needed.

The CRC requires that governments respect the responsibility of parents for providing appropriate guidance to their children. Nevertheless, Article 18 also states that governments should provide support services to parents especially if both parents work outside the home (United Nations Children’s Fund, 2006). Children must be looked after properly by people who respect their ethnicity, religion, culture and language, and their care and treatment should always be based on the best interests’ principle (United Nations Children’s Fund, 2006; UNICEF, 2009). The current practices and policies in child welfare for First Nations children often infringe upon children’s right to non-discrimination (Article 2), as well as the right to use his or her own language and enjoy his or her own culture (Article 30).

There is a role for governments to play in helping families and guardians who are unable to provide food, clothing and housing (UNICEF, 1990). According to Article 27 of the CRC, it is the responsibility of parents and guardians to secure, within their abilities and financial capacities, the conditions necessary for their child’s development. State governments have a responsibility to take all necessary measures to assist parents and others responsible for the child to implement this right and all rights as outlined in the CRC, and provide material assistance and support programmes where needed (United Nations, 1989).

International Concerns for Aboriginal Children in Canada

Every year upon reviewing Canada’s record in implementing the CRC, the United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child continues to express deep concern about the lack of progress in implementing the rights of Indigenous children. The Government of Canada appeared before the United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child (Committee) on September 26–27, 2012 to undergo a review of its compliance with the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC). The Committee released its findings and recommendations in a document called Concluding Observations on October 5, 2012 (United Nations, 2012). Reference was specifically made to Aboriginal5 children and young people regarding child welfare, health, poverty, education, and juvenile justice.

The UNCRC has several concerns for Aboriginal children in Canada.

The report strongly criticized Canada for lack of progress in discrimination against Aboriginal children and youth as evidenced through the significant over-representation of Aboriginal children in the child welfare system and the ongoing inequitable funding for child welfare services for First Nations children on reserves. The Committee also raised concerns about loss of identity and culture as there are inadequate cultural supports while Aboriginal children are in out-of-home care. The Committee was disturbed by removals of children from their families as a first resort in cases of neglect, financial hardship or disability, and went on to outline the following concerns for children while in the care of child welfare: inappropriate placements, poorer outcomes, abuse and neglect, inadequate preparation for life.

---

4 The case was filed by the Assembly of First Nations and the First Nations Child and Family Caring Society in 2007. More information is available at www.fnwitness.ca.

5While the Concluding Observations report focused specifically on Aboriginal children and young people with respect to child welfare, health, poverty, education, and juvenile justice, this paper mainly focuses on First Nations children and two factors affecting their health and well-being: poverty and child welfare.
after care, caregivers with little training or preparation, and, for Aboriginal children in particular, placements in homes outside their communities. Concerns about health were raised, including violence against Aboriginal women and girls, mental health of Aboriginal children, and the continued high suicide rate. The Committee also noted the Government of Canada’s lack of a national poverty initiative, as well as concerns about the inequities and inadequacies of welfare services in meeting needs of Aboriginal children. With regard to education and Aboriginal children, the Committee commented on the high dropout rate as well as inappropriate and excessive use of disciplinary measures applied in school. The Committee also drew attention to the fact that Aboriginal youth are more likely to be involved in the criminal justice system than to graduate from high school. The UNCRC also noted that Canada cannot excuse rights violations due to the federalist structure of the country.6

Conclusion

Contrary to the child’s right to education, health care and economic assistance, First Nations children in Canada continue to suffer disproportionately from high rates of child poverty, from high levels of neglect, and from a child welfare system that fails to adequately protect them through culturally-appropriate services (Blackstock, 2007). First Nations children and all children should have access to community-based and culturally appropriate services which will help them grow up safe, healthy, and spiritually strong and be free from abuse, neglect, sexual exploitation, and the damaging effects of neglect and caregiver substance abuse. All should have a bright future, be entitled to a place of safety and nurturance, and be seen as a source of pride to their family of origin and community. In order for this to occur, it is important that First Nations families (and their respective organizations) be given the resources they need to ensure that the rights of Aboriginal children under their care are upheld and respected. Now more than ever, the current environment presents new opportunities to work towards a path of healing, reconciliation and renewal, with the well-being of children and youth

6 The full text of the Concluding Observations can be found at: www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/crc/docs/co/CRC-C-CAN-CO-3-4_en.pdf.
as the focus. In order to help vulnerable children such as First Nations children, a community developed, outcomes-directed, child-centered national plan in Canada is required.
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